



Metrics Planning and Reporting (MPAR) WG Breakout session

Summary to Plenary Session

**H. K. (Rama) Ramapriyan
NASA/GSFC**

4th Earth Science Data Systems Working Group

Joint Working Group Meeting

Baltimore, MD

October 25-27, 2005

MPARWG Breakout Sessions



10/25/2005		Names	Start time	Duration
Introduction		H. Ramapriyan	1:30	0:10
Metrics - HQ point of view		Frank Lindsay	1:40	0:20
Updates to Website		Paul Davis	2:00	0:20
Migration to GSFC site - status		Kathy Fontaine	2:20	0:15
Resolution of Reporting "Anomalies"		Greg Hunolt	2:35	1:00
Thoughts on Education Metric (Metric #10)		John Pickle	3:35	0:30
Education REASoNs' Survey		Glen Schuster	4:05	0:30
Introduction to next day's topics - Service, Efficiency and Project-Unique Metrics		H. Ramapriyan	4:35	0:25
Adjourn			5:00	
10/26/2005				
Ideas from REASoN Project attendees on Service, Efficiency and Project-Unique Metrics		All (15 mins per project) - Moderated by Paul Davis	8:30	2:30
General discussion - items for recommendation to HQ		All - Moderated by Rama	11:00	0:30
Adjourn			11:30	
10/27/2005				
MPARWG Process				
Draft recommendation to HQ		Hunolt	8:47	1:30
Development metrics				
FY 06 Work Plan				
Develop plenary session summary presentation		Rama	10:17	1:00

ESDSWG meeting – 10/25-27/2005

Summary of Discussions



- ❑ Discussed details of Greg Hunolt's contacts with REASoN Projects to arrive at a set of "clean and consistent" FY 05 metrics
- ❑ **MPARWG Process <<Include chart from 2004>>**
 - Reiterated how recommendations are discussed and submitted to HQ for approval
 - Reiterated that recommendations approved by HQ are binding on all funded activities (e.g., REASoN Projects, ACCESS Projects)
- ❑ **Recommendations**
 - Several changes are recommended to the 10 existing metrics
 - Mainly clarifications
 - Accommodating "service provision" in addition to "data product provision"
 - Include specifics from Education Study Managers
 - Seek specifics from R and A study managers
 - Added optional, "project specific", metrics with examples
- ❑ **Include ACCESS Projects after awards**
- ❑ **REASoN Projects are asked to use "Impact Metrics"**
- ❑ **Metrics are valuable not just to HQ/Study Managers, but also to Projects themselves to assess their own progress and help "sell" in the future**
- ❑ **Need to provide Study Managers with useful summaries of metrics**



MPAR WG Internal Processes:

- ❑ **Process to adopt recommendation:**
 - Majority vote of MPAR WG members to adopt proposed recommendation as a WG draft;
 - One MPAR WG member appointed shepherd;
 - 30 day period of ESE activity review for WG draft (not all ESE activities will be MPAR WG members) coordinated by shepherd;
 - Shepherd assembles comments, drafts revisions to recommendation per activity feedback, presents summary of feedback and draft revisions to full WG;
 - WG considers revisions and need for 'beta test';
 - Majority vote of MPAR WG members to adopt revised WG draft;
 - Shepherd coordinates Impact Analysis, Rationale, Justification
 - Two thirds vote of **responding** MPAR WG members to adopt final recommendation package and send to HQ / ESE.

Future Considerations/Issues



- ❑ Improved communication with Study Managers
- ❑ Accommodating ACCESS Expectations
- ❑ Clear definition of terms for Glossary
- ❑ Automation of metrics collection
- ❑ Characterizing Distributed Systems
- ❑ Sharing of information across projects